

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Role of Flexible Bronchoscopy using Biopsy Forceps as the Initial Attempt for Headscarf Pin Aspiration Extraction

Mia Elhidsi^{1,*}, Dicky Soehardiman¹, Wahju Aniwidyaningsih¹, Mochamad Fahmi Alatas¹, Ginanjar Arum Desianti¹ and Prasenohadi Pradono¹

¹Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Persahabatan Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract:

Introduction:

Flexible bronchoscopy is a less invasive procedure for extracting foreign bodies from the airways. However, studies on the extraction of headscarf pins are still very limited to determine the efficacy and safety of headscarf pin extraction using flexible bronchoscopy with biopsy forceps.

Methods:

This retrospective study was conducted at Persahabatan Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia, on patients who had been treated in this hospital for headscarf pin extraction between January 2013 and February 2023. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy was performed under general anaesthesia. The pin was removed using Radial Jaw 4 mm single-use pulmonary biopsy forceps. The impacted sharp tip of the pin was freed first, and the proximal part of the pin body was gripped using biopsy forceps. Once a firm hold of the sharp end or the proximal part of the pin was secured, the bronchoscope and forceps were both slowly withdrawn under direct vision.

Results:

Thirty-two cases with headscarf pin aspiration were managed by fibreoptic bronchoscopy. A total of 12 patients (37.5%) came without any respiratory complaints; however, an equal number complained of cough and 6 cases (18.7%) of haemoptysis. All the cases in which the pins were visible in the airway were found with the round head down and the sharp tip oriented superiorly in the airway and impacted in the mucosa. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy extraction succeeded in 31 cases (96.8%). Only one case was converted to surgery. There were no major complications.

Conclusion:

Fibreoptic bronchoscopy with biopsy forceps under general anaesthesia is safe and effective for the removal of headscarf pin aspiration.

Keywords: Aspiration, Biopsy forceps, Bronchoscopy, Flexible bronchoscopy, Foreign bodies, Headscarf pin.

Article History	Received: April 25, 2023	Revised: May 25, 2023	Accepted: June 07, 2023

1. INTRODUCTION

Foreign body aspiration is a serious health problem that often occurs; however, it is preventable [1]. Foreign body aspiration is the event of inhaling an object into the airway and has the potential to be life-threatening [2]. In general, the incidence of foreign body aspiration is influenced by many factors including age, gender, occupation, geographical conditions, social and cultural factors, economic status, and eating habits [2]. Certain cultural aspects such as the use of pins by Muslim women to fasten a headscarf (a type of head covering) are risk factors for aspiration for such groups [3]. The pins are about 3 to 5.5 cm (average 4 cm) long and have a sharp tip at one end and a round head with a variety of pearl-like colours at the other end (Fig. 1). The risk occurs because these women often put pins in their mouths while both their hands are occupied with tidying up the headscarves before pinning them down and may accidentally aspirate them [1, 4].

Flexible bronchoscopy is a relatively easy, less invasive, and safe procedure for extracting foreign bodies from the airways compared to rigid bronchoscopy and surgery; it also has a fairly high success rate [5, 6]. However, studies on the extraction of headscarf pins are still very limited. The appearance of the aspirated headscarf pin has certain clinical

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Persahabatan Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia; E-mail: miapulmo.ui@gmail.com

characteristics that require special techniques in the extraction process *via* flexible bronchoscopy. We conducted this study to determine the efficacy and complications of headscarf pin extraction using flexible bronchoscopy with biopsy forceps. We also present some of the techniques for using biopsy forceps in the extraction of headscarf pins.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted at the Indonesian National Referral Hospital for Respiratory Diseases, Persahabatan Hospital, on patients who had been treated in this hospital for headscarf pin extraction between January 2013 and February 2023. Diagnosis of headscarf pin aspiration was confirmed by typical history and chest X-ray or computed tomography (CT) within 24 hours before admission to the hospital emergency room. We used secondary data from hospital medical records and bronchoscopy reports.

2.1. Headscarf Pin Removal

After conducting a history and physical examination of each patient with a history of headscarf pin aspiration, radiological examinations were conducted using chest X-rays or CT. The aspirated headscarf pin appears as a radiopaque, needle-like object in the airway.



Fig. (1). Aspirated headscarf pin.

(a). Normal headscarf pin.

(b). Corrosive headscarf pin.

(c). Corrosive and broken headscarf pin.







Fig. (2). Headscarf pin extraction using biopsy forceps.

(a). Release the impacted sharp tip from the mucosa.

(b). Grip the proximal part of the pin with biopsy forceps.

(c). Once a firm hold of the proximal pin is secured, withdraw the bronchoscope and forceps slowly under direct vision.

(d). After the pin passes through the vocal cords and reaches the laryngeal mask airway (LMA), lift the LMA and scope together. LMA: Laryngeal mask airway.

Fibreoptic bronchoscopy was performed under general anaesthesia on the day of the admission of the patients. The bronchoscope was passed through the laryngeal mask airway (LMA), and the pin was removed using Radial Jaw 4 mm single-use pulmonary biopsy forceps. The sharp tip of the pin could be impacted in the mucosa and had to be freed first. If the sharp tip was free or had been released, then the sharp end of the proximal part of the pin body was gripped using biopsy forceps. Once a firm hold of the sharp end of the proximal part of the pin was secured, the bronchoscope and forceps were both slowly withdrawn under direct vision. After the pin passed through the vocal cords and reached the LMA, the LMA, and scope were lifted together. It is also possible to lift the pin completely until it leaves the LMA. After the removal of the pin, the bronchoscope was passed again to evaluate any possible post-extraction damage to the vocal cords and tracheobronchial mucosa. The process of headscarf pin extraction using biopsy forceps is shown in Fig. (2).

2.2. Data Extraction and Analysis

We used secondary data from hospital medical records, bronchoscopy reports, and videos. Removal time starts from the appearance of the biopsy forceps on the monitor until the pin is in the LMA. Descriptive data are presented in the form of amounts, percentages, and median values. We have also presented the drawings of removal techniques. An ethical review was not required for this study.

3. RESULTS

Thirty-two cases with headscarf pin aspiration were managed by flexible bronchoscopy as an initial attempt. The ages of patients ranged from 2 to 53 years, with a median age of 13 years. Additionally, although headscarf pins are generally used by women, there were 6 male patients (18.7%). In most of the cases – 26 cases were (81.2%) –the patients arrived at our centre more than 24 hours from the time of the aspiration event. All the patients were in good clinical condition and

without any respiratory distress. A total of 12 patients (37.5%) came without any respiratory complaints; however, an equal number complained of cough and 6 cases (18.7%) of haemoptysis. All the cases in which the pins were visible in the airway were found with the round head down and the sharp tip oriented superiorly in the airway and impacted in the mucosa. In two of the cases, the pin was not seen during the bronchoscopy evaluation. The bronchoscopy view of headscarf aspiration is presented in Fig. (3). Some of the headscarf pins fell into the right basal lobe and were lodged there; this was followed by the right main bronchus, left main bronchus, right lower lobe, and trachea. None of the pins fell into the left upper lobe. The proportions of aspirated pins at various locations are shown in Fig. (4). Eight cases (25%) showed mucosal granulation, while one case had mucoid mucus at the proximal of the lumen.

Flexible bronchoscopy extraction succeeded in 31 cases (96.8%). Of the 17 cases whose extraction procedure videos were recorded, the average procedure duration was 5 minutes and 6 seconds. Only one case was converted to surgery; however, there were no major complications. In this case, the headscarf pin had been aspirated three years previously. The pin had fallen peripherally in the right lower lobe with the oedematous lumen and could not be visualized on the bronchoscopy image. Bronchoscopy was performed under fluoroscopy guidance. Some of the brittle pin parts were successfully removed using biopsy forceps, while the rest of the pin was removed by slashing the lung parenchyma and bronchi until the pin could be removed. After the procedure, the patient's clinical condition was good and haemodynamically stable. One other case with no visual pin on the bronchoscopy monitor also required c-arm fluoroscopy. Chest X-ray and post-bronchoscopy administration of antibiotics is not a standard procedure in our center and is performed only with the indication. The observation period was carried out for 24 hours. Furthermore, the patient can be discharged if stable.



Fig. (3). Bronchoscopy view of headscarf aspiration.

- (a). Headscarf pin was at left main bronchi with impacted sharp tip.
- (b). Headscarf pin crossed the proximal trachea with the pin body embedded in the posterior tracheal wall.
- (c). Headscarf pin was not visible, covered with mucoid secretion.



Fig. (4). Distribution of headscarf pin aspiration in the airway.

4. DISCUSSION

Headscarf pin aspiration has unique clinical characteristics. Considering that pins are mostly used by young adult women and those in their teens, the proportion is higher among women. In pin aspiration, there is always a history of aspiration. Unlike other foreign bodies, aspiration of a headscarf pin does not cause central airway obstruction but can trigger local mucosal reactions, hypersecretion, infection, inflammation, and the formation of granulation tissue around the needle as a result of foreign bodies penetrating the mucosa [7, 8]. Pin aspiration can produce a penetrating syndrome, which consists of three clinical phases: choking, followed by sudden episodes of coughing and dyspnoea, and finally ending in an asymptomatic or quiescent phase [4, 9 - 13]. However, pin aspiration is also dangerous because it can move suddenly and can penetrate the bronchial wall to the lung parenchyma [13]. The longer a needle is in the airway, the higher the possibility of the needle moving to the distal part so it becomes more difficult to remove it [14].

The history of headscarf pin aspiration is similar in most cases, and, before the incident, most of the subjects had inserted the head of the needle inside the mouth, and the sharp tip was outside. Therefore, most needles that enter the airway will generally have a similar orientation, that is, the sharp tip will be pointing up. Then, after the needle has been aspirated and the patient's cough reflex appears, the needle is pushed upwards and the sharp tip will stick in the airway mucosa [3]. There is no specific predilection site, and this study found that the most common location was the right lower lobe bronchus. This is because of the anatomical structure of the right main bronchus, which is wider and more vertical than the left main bronchus [15, 16]. However, the left main bronchus, which has a smaller diameter, can generate greater negative pressure so that the needle will enter the left main bronchus more often.

Our study results show a high success rate with no major complication of flexible bronchoscopy using biopsy forceps as the initial attempt for headscarf pin aspiration extraction. Bronchoscopy is the main treatment modality for the extraction of aspirated pins but requires a skilled operator because the needle moves easily. Successful management of bronchoscopy reduces the need for surgical procedures such as thoracotomy, bronchotomy, or lobectomy. The use of Laryngeal mask airways (LMA) is preferred over endotracheal tube (ETT) because there is a risk that the pin will get stuck at the end tip of the ETT, and make the extraction more difficult.

Most cases can be treated with bronchoscopy. Only 0.9–13.9% of cases require surgical procedures [4, 9, 11, 14, 17, 18]. The determining factors for successful extraction using bronchoscopy include experienced operators, a more proximal anatomical location, and a fast duration of intervention. Nonetheless, surgical procedures are more often performed on needle aspiration patients than on other foreign body aspiration patients because a needle is smaller and thus easier to move distally. Moreover, if there are complications such as the growth of granulation tissue and mucus plugging, it becomes difficult to remove the pins using bronchoscopy.

Some articles report that the use of rigid bronchoscopy is more desirable than flexible bronchoscopy [1, 7 - 11, 19]. Rigid bronchoscopy is the main choice for sharp foreign body extraction as it has a low risk of perforation and bronchial injury, can maintain airway patency properly, and facilitate the extraction process because it can be done simultaneously making the procedure quicker [1, 13]. For adult patients, flexible bronchoscopy can be considered the first-line treatment, while still providing rigid bronchoscopy and further intervention facilities if extraction using a flexible bronchoscope fails [20]. Flexible bronchoscopy is useful because of its simple, smooth, and slender shape and its ability to pick up a needle that is more distally located, reducing the length of stay in the hospital. When it is not possible to retrieve the needle *via* flexible bronchoscopy, the needle can be localized, as was the case in one of the patients in this study wherein bronchotomy was resorted to [1, 3, 10].

The main principles in removing a needle with the help of a bronchoscope are to hold the sharp end so that when the needle is removed it does not injure the mucosa and to concentrate the visualization of the needle in the middle of the airway (Fig. 3). Previous studies have reported that needles can be removed using forceps or magnetic extractors [12]. Several types of forceps are used, including biopsy forceps, rat tooth forceps, or disposable grasping forceps [8]. In general, only one bronchoscopy procedure is needed to remove the needle. Further trials are required in 4.1-6.8% of cases [4, 17]. Fluoroscopy or X-ray equipment should be available as it will be necessary to localize the needle in case the needle moves, is accidentally removed during the procedure, and cannot be seen in the airway on standard bronchoscopy, which happened in one of the cases in this study.

Pin aspiration can be prevented by not putting needles in one's mouth. The pin should be placed in special pads or magnetic containers [4]. Another alternative to prevent aspiration is to secure the headscarf using a device other than a needle, such as by tying it or using adhesives, clamps, or safety pins [9, 11]. Nevertheless, if someone has an aspiration, they should be taken immediately to the hospital so that it can be treated immediately [10, 21]. All this information needs to be conveyed to all young girls in their schools or through the public media to educate them and increase their awareness regarding the problem of pin aspiration.

CONCLUSION

Headscarf pin aspiration has unique clinical characteristics. Most of the time, the needles orient themselves in a position where the round head is down and the sharp part is stuck in the mucosa. Needle extraction using biopsy forceps is preceded by releasing the mucosa where the needle is stuck and then grasping it and finally pulling the forceps together with a flexible scope by keeping the scope in the middle and preventing the sharp tip from injuring the airway. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy with biopsy forceps is safe and effective for the removal of headscarf pin aspiration.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

ME, DS, WA, MFA, GAD, and PHD managed the patients. ME drafted and submitted the manuscript. ME, DS and MFA revised the manuscript. DS and PHD supervised the work.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CT =	Computed Tomography
------	---------------------

LMA = Laryngeal Mask Airway

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

An ethical review was not required for this study.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this research. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional and/or research committees and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from the patient.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [ME], on correspondence request.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Al-Sarraf N, Jamal-Eddine H, Khaja F, Ayed AK. Headscarf pin tracheobronchial aspiration: A distinct clinical entity. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2009; 9(2): 187-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1510/icvts.2009.207548] [PMID: 19470499]
- [2] Kakunje A, Pookoth R, Ahmed A, Puthran S, Nambiar A. Aspiration of hijab pin is sharply rising among young women---A preventable health problem. J Family Med Prim Care 2019; 8(8): 2681-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_458_19] [PMID: 31548955]
- [3] Gencer M, Ceylan E, Koksal N. Extraction of pins from the airway with flexible bronchoscopy. Respiration 2007; 74(6): 674-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000102302] [PMID: 17476095]
- [4] Hamad AMM, Elmistekawy EM, Ragab SM. Headscarf pin, a sharp foreign body aspiration with particular clinical characteristics. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2010; 267(12): 1957-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00405-010-1295-y] [PMID: 20532792]
- [5] Ibrahim SS, Hassan RW, Bilal M, et al. Inhaled foreign bodies: Presentation, management and value of history and plain chest radiography in delayed presentation. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2006; 134(1): 92-9.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2005.08.019] [PMID: 16399187]
 Zissin R, Shapiro-Feinberg M, Rozenman J, Apter S, Smorjik J, Hertz
- M. CT findings of the chest in adults with aspirated foreign bodies. Eur Radiol 2001; 11(4): 606-11.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003300000619] [PMID: 11354755]
- [7] Liu B, Ding F, An Y, et al. Occult foreign body aspirations in pediatric patients: 20-years of experience. BMC Pulm Med 2020; 20(1): 320. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12890-020-01356-8] [PMID: 33298020]
- [8] Mehta RM, Rashmi N, Bajaj P, Krishnan S, Srinivasan L. Airway stenosis related to foreign body aspiration: An under-recognized long term complication. Clin Med Insights Case Rep 2019; 12: 1179547619863816.

6 The Open Respiratory Medicine Journal, 2023, Volume 17

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1179547619863816] [PMID: 31367177]

- [9] Hasdiraz L, Bicer C, Bilgin M, Oguzkaya F. Turban pin aspiration: Non-asphyxiating tracheobronchial foreign body in young islamic women. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006; 54(4): 273-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-923954] [PMID: 16755451]
- [10] Al-Ali MAK, Khassawneh B, Alzoubi F. Utility of fiberoptic bronchoscopy for retrieval of aspirated headscarf pins. Respiration 2007; 74(3): 309-13.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000098477] [PMID: 17215569]
- [11] Ilan O, Eliashar R, Hirshoren N, Hamdan K, Gross M. Turban pin
- [11] Inar O, Enashar K, Hirsholdi K, Handar K, Oross M. Futbar Jin aspiration: New fashion, new syndrome. Laryngoscope 2012; 122(4): 916-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lary.23192] [PMID: 22374731]
- [12] Ragab A, Ebied OM, Zalat S. Scarf pins sharp metallic tracheobronchial foreign bodies: Presentation and management. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2007; 71(5): 769-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2007.01.018] [PMID: 17343924]
- [113] Marouf R, Zerrouki S, Alloubi I, Housni B. Accidental inhalation of scarf pin: Presentation and management. Lung India 2020; 37(2): 140-4.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/lungindia.lungindia_345_19] [PMID:
- 32108599]
 [14] Wani ML, Ganie FA, Wani NUD, *et al.* The pattern, presentation and management of pardah pin inhalation: Report from a single center in Northern India. Bull Emerg Trauma 2013; 1(4): 171-4.
- [PMID: 27162851]
 [15] Cullivan S, Langø T, Cantillon-Murphy P, Kennedy MP. Aspiration and altered airway anatomy: A presentation with a twist. BMJ Case

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Science Publisher.

Rep 2018; 2018: bcr-2018-224331.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2018-224331] [PMID: 29871960]

- [16] Jang G, Song JW, Kim HJ, Kim EJ, Jang JG, Cha SI. Foreign-body aspiration into the lower airways in adults; multicenter study. PLoS One 2022; 17(7): e0269493.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269493] [PMID: 35793276]
 [17] Kaptanoglu M, Nadir A, Dogan K, Sahin E. The heterodox nature of "Turban Pins" in foreign body aspiration; the central anatolian experience. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2007; 71(4): 553-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2006.11.017] [PMID: 17224190]
- [18] Baram A, Kakamad FH, Bakir DA. Scarf pin-related hijab syndrome: A new name for an unusual type of foreign body aspiration. J Int Med Res 2017; 45(6): 2078-84.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0300060517711086] [PMID: 28627978]
- [19] Elhidsi M, Zaini J, Ghanie A, et al. Therapeutic bronchoscopy followed by sequential radiochemotherapy in the management of lifethreatening tracheal adenoid cystic carcinoma: A case report. J Med Case Reports 2022; 16(1): 243.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13256-022-03452-1] [PMID: 35718781]
- [20] Wu L, Sheng Y, Xu X, *et al.* Flexible bronchoscopy combined with rigid bronchoscopy for treatment of scarring in the bronchus caused by a foreign body. Case Rep Med 2019; 2019: 1-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2019/4616298] [PMID: 31316565]
- [21] White JJ, Cambron JD, Gottlieb M, Long B. Evaluation and management of airway foreign bodies in the emergency department setting. J Emerg Med 2023; 64(2): 145-55. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2022.12.008] [PMID: 36806432]



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.