REVIEW ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Updates on Pulmonary Hypertension



Vivek Paudyal¹⁽¹⁰⁾, Rubi Thapa¹⁽¹⁰⁾, Sagarika Basnet²⁽¹⁰⁾, Munish Sharma³⁽¹⁰⁾, Salim Surani^{4,*}⁽¹⁰⁾ and Joseph Varon⁵⁽¹⁰⁾

¹Department of General Practice and Emergency Medicine, Karnali Academy of Health Sciences, Jumla, Nepal ²Department of Internal Medicine, Kathmandu Medical College and Teaching Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal ³Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Texas, TX, United States ⁴Department of Medicine, Texas A & M University, Texas, TX, United States

⁵College of Medicine, University of Houston, Houston, United States

Abstract:

Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PAH) is an uncommon condition with high mortality. It is an underrecognized condition both in developing and developed countries, especially in developing countries, due to a lack of advanced healthcare facilities and resources for timely diagnosis. More than half of the individuals diagnosed with PAH live less than five years after diagnosis. In recent years, tremendous advancements have been made in diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for PAH patients. Phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5) inhibitors, endothelin receptor antagonists, and prostacyclin inhibitors in various forms (oral, inhaled, intravenous, or subcutaneous) have been the cornerstone of medical treatment. Atrial septostomy, heart and lung transplant, balloon pulmonary angioplasty, and pulmonary thromboendarterectomy are existing therapeutic options currently available. There has been a continuous effort to introduce newer therapies to improve life expectancy and modify disease. Newer therapies have shown promising results but require future data to guarantee long-term safety and efficacy. We aim to discuss a few of these critical updates in the constantly evolving field of PAH.

Keywords: Pulmonary hypertension, Sotatercept, Inhaled Treprostinil, Tadalafil, Macitentan, Remote pulmonary artery pressure monitoring.

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Medicine, Texas A & M University, Texas, TX, United States; E-mail: srsurani@hotmail.com

Cite as: Paudyal V, Thapa R, Basnet S, Sharma M, Surani S, Varon J. Updates on Pulmonary Hypertension. Open Respir Med J, 2025; 19: e18743064344024. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743064344024250203101417



Received: July 24, 2024 Revised: December 6, 2024 Accepted: January 1, 2025 Published: February 10, 2025



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary Hypertension (PH) is a spectrum of diseases affecting the pulmonary vessels. Hemodynamically, it is defined as the mean Pulmonary Artery Pressure (mPAP) at rest more significant than 20 mmHg [1, 2].

About 1% of the world's population is affected by pulmonary hypertension, where 80% of the diseased individuals reside in developing countries. It is most likely underestimated in developing nations as gold standard diagnostic modalities such as right heart catheterization may not be readily available in resource-limited settings. PH, in general, affects the older population, with an average age of 68.5 years and female predominance [3]. Left-sided heart failure is the leading cause of PH worldwide, followed by lung diseases [4]. (Table 1) depicts the prevalence of different PH groups [3]. The prevalence of PH is increasing and is expected to do so for a few more decades as the aging population is increasing [3, 4].

Table 1. WHO clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension and its prevalence [3].

WHO Clinical Groups	Prevalence (%)
Group 1: Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PAH)	13.8
Group 2: PH due to left heart disease	68.5
Group 3: PH due to lung diseases and Hypoxia	47
Group 4: PH due to pulmonary artery obstructions	9

PAH is a deadly condition, with approximately onethird of the total PAH population dying within the first year of diagnosis and more than half of deaths occurring within five years [3].

Recent advances in drugs and surgical intervention have shown some survival benefits, especially in cases of Group 1 and Group 4 PH [5, 6]. PAH-specific therapies targeting various biological pathways like endothelin, prostacyclin, and phosphodiesterase are currently being used and have improved 5-year survival by up to 60% compared to 34% in 1991 [5]. Still, 40% of patients die within five years of treatment, which highlights the need for the introduction of newer therapies for the management of PAH.

This article discusses the latest updates in managing Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PH), as well as Group 2 and Group 3 PH.

1.1. Sotatercept: A Novel Decoy Activin Receptor for Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension

PAH is a debilitating, progressive disease characterized by perivascular inflammation and pulmonary vascular remodeling [7].

About 43% of PAH cases are either Idiopathic or Heritable, followed by Connective Tissue diseases, which affect about 15% [8]. Mutations affecting Bone Morphogenetic Protein Receptor 2 (BMPR 2), a member of the Transforming Growth Factor β (TGF- β) superfamily, are found in cases of Heritable PAH and sporadic cases [9]. In healthy individuals, BMPR 2 is expressed abundantly in pulmonary vascular endothelium and on the airway and arterial smooth muscles, whereas, in patients with PAH expressing heterozygous mutation of BMPR 2 genes, there is a marked reduction in expression of BMPR 2 [10]. Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) is anti-proliferative and inhibits smooth muscle proliferation and extracellular matrix synthesis, ultimately preventing pulmonary vascular remodeling [11]. It counteracts the pro-proliferative action of Activin A (another member of the TGF-B superfamily), maintaining the balance required for the functioning of the pulmonary vasculature properly [12-14]. Activin A, which functions by binding to Activin Receptor Type II A (ActRIIA), showed enhanced expression in distal pulmonary arterioles in patients with Idiopathic and Heritable PAH [14]. The novel medicine Sotatercept targets the imbalance of Activin A and BMP caused by the BMPR 2 mutation. (Fig. 1) illustrates the imbalance between these two members of the TGF- β superfamily.



Fig. (1). A) Figure showing the balance between Activin A and BMP. B) The figure shows the pro-proliferative action of Activin A due to a mutation in the BMP gene.

Sotatercept is a fusion protein (ActRIIA-Fc) composed of the extracellular domain of human Activin Receptor Type II A fused with the Fc portion of IgG1. It acts as a decoy receptor for Activin A, inhibiting its binding to ACTR II A and reducing proliferation [14, 15]. It is an FDA-approved drug with two completed clinical trials, i.e., PULSAR Trial (Phase 2, double-blinded, randomized controlled trial followed by open-label extension) and STELLAR Trial (Phase 3, double-blinded, randomized controlled trial) [16].

Both the PULSAR and STELLAR Trials included patients with the diagnosis of PAH, excluding those due to Portal Hypertension, Schistosomiasis, HIV, or veno-occlusive diseases. These candidates were under stable background therapy with PAH drugs for at least three months before the trial and continued their treatment with those drugs throughout the trial [17]. Patients with WHO Functional Class II and III and Pulmonary Vascular Resistance (PVR) \geq 5 Woods Unit (WU) were only included [15, 17, 18].

In the Phase 2 trial, three groups underwent treatment with 0.3 mg/kg Sotatercept, 0.7 mg/kg Sotatercept, and placebo, whereas in the Phase 3 trial, the initial dose was 0.3 mg/kg, which was later increased to 0.7 mg/kg. Sotatercept was injected every three weeks via a subcutaneous route for 24 weeks, and outcomes were studied [15, 17, 18]. Most of the participants included were white, with more than half suffering from Idiopathic PAH. 37% of participants were using Prostacyclins as stable background therapy. Patients with monotherapy, double treatment, and triple therapy were 9%, 35%, and 56%, respectively [15].

Sotatercept showed significant improvement in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and World Health Organization (WHO) Functional class [15-18]. The least squares mean change from baseline in PVR was a decrease of 2 Wood units (162.2 dynes/sec/cm) in subjects in the sotatercept 0.3 mg group and 3.2 Wood units (255.9 dynes/sec/cm) in the sotatercept 0.7 mg group. In contrast, a decrease of 0.2 Wood units (16.4 dynes/sec/cm) was only observed in the placebo group. These results were consistent across the prespecified subgroups, such as monotherapy vs combination therapy, and whether the patient was receiving Prostacyclin [15]. The median improvement in 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) was 34.4 m in the Sotatercept group compared to the placebo group, which showed an improvement of only 1 m [17]. There are marked improvements in mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure (mPAP) and NT-pro BNP levels (Table 2) [16].

The effects observed in the treatment course of 24 weeks with Sotatercept were found to be maintained with continued treatment even for 24 months [18]. About 92% of patients continued the treatment until the end of 24 months, an open-label extension period of the PULSAR Trial [18].

Most participants tolerated the drug. The concerning adverse effects are depicted in Fig. (2) [17].

Table 2. A pooled analysis of PULSAR and STELLAR Trials showing effective outcomes of different parameters [16].

Parameters	Mean Deviation (MD)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)
PVR improvement	-229.77	-319.39; -140.14
Right Atrial Pressure	-2.29	-2.77; -1.81
Mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure (mPAP)	-11.85	-15.31; -8.37
NT-pro BNP levels	-1368.83	-2512.28; -225.39
WHO FC performance improvement	RR: 2.06	1.39; 3.04

Erythrocytosis and thrombocytopenia were the most concerning adverse events during treatment with Sotatercept, yet these were managed during the trial with dose interruptions or reductions without the need for discontinuation. Epistaxis and gingival bleeding were the most common bleeding events but were mild [17]. Telangiectasia appears to be one of the common side effects, but its clinical significance in long-term management is yet to be determined.

Only 15% suffering from connective tissue diseases were included in the STELLAR trial. PAH associated with Connective Tissue Disease could be of particular concern for treatment with Sotatercept as the risk of bleeding, telangiectasia, and thrombocytopenia is higher in this group. The concomitant use of multiple drugs for the treatment of Connective Tissue Diseases and their interaction is another matter of concern. Similarly, patients with congenital heart disease carry the inherent risk of erythrocytosis, which can be accentuated by Sotatercept raising safety concerns. Further Sotatercept trials should shed more light on these concerns.

2. COMBINATION PILL OF MACITENTAN AND TADALAFIL: A PIONEER FIXED DRUG COMBI-NATION THERAPY FOR PULMONARY ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION

Endothelin and Phosphodiesterase pathways are among the principal pathways involved in the pathophysiology of PAH. The pathophysiological overview is illustrated in Fig. (3) [19, 20].



Fig. (2). Adverse events occurring at a higher frequency in the Sotatercept group.



Fig. (3). Pathophysiology of PAH, including the targeted site of action of Macitentan and Tadalafil [1, 3]. cAMP: cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate, cGMP: cyclic Guanosine Monophosphate, ET_A: Endothelin A receptor, ET_B: Endothelin B receptor, NO: Nitric Oxide, PDE5: Phosphodiesterase 5, PGI₂: Prostacyclin.

Initial combination therapy with Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors (PDE5-is) and Endothelin Receptor Antagonists (ERAs) is recommended for Idiopathic, Hereditary, and drug-induced PAH patients with low to intermediate risk of death. Macitentan, an ERA, and Tadalafil, a PDE-5i, in fixed-dose combination, have shown significant improvement in PVR and 6MWD [21].

Macitentan is a non-selective, oral, potent, dual endothelial receptor antagonist with supercilious efficacy compared to other ERAs due to its better tissue penetration and receptor affinity [22-25]. It has shown significant improvement in morbidity and mortality in patients with PAH [26].

Another drug, Tadalafil, is an orally administered, selective inhibitor of phosphodiesterase type-5 enzyme. It has a faster onset and longer duration of action, higher selectivity for PDE5, and increased absorption, generating potential perk over other PDE5is [27, 28]. Moreover, it has an advantage over other PDE5is due to its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects, making it productive even for treating hypobaric hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension [29]. Tadalafil is associated with improved 6MWD and eventually enhances the quality of life [30, 31]. Side effects are primarily due to the vasodilatory impacts, such as headache (most common side effect, 33% with Tadalafil 40mg), flushing, dyspepsia, and myalgia [30].

PAH combination therapy has an impact on multiple targeted pathways that are responsible for PAH development [32, 33]. One of the best methods is to use various drugs combined in a fixed ratio into a single dosage, known as fixed drug combination (FDC). It helps increase drug adherence due to a reduction in pill burden and cost,

concomitantly allowing better clinical outcomes [32]. To target different pathways, a combination therapy of macitentan and Tadalafil (M/T FDC) was introduced as a pioneer FDC drug in the field of PAH. M/T FDC, a filmcoated oral tablet comprising 10 mg Macitentan and 40 mg Tadalafil in a fixed dose combination, is indicated for the chronic treatment of PAH. It reduces morbidity in WHO FC II or III patients with Idiopathic PAH, Hereditary PAH, PAH associated with Connective tissue diseases, and PAH associated with Congenital Heart Diseases [32, 33]. The FDA approval of this FDC drug occurred following the outcomes from the pivotal Phase III "A DUE" study [32]. Macitentan was found to reduce the risk of clinical worsening events and hospitalization, whereas Tadalafil showed improved exercise ability [25, 31, 34].

A DUE study was a prospective, double-blinded, multicenter, randomized, actively controlled, parallelgroup, adaptive phase III study designed to compare the efficacy and safety of M/T FDC to macitentan and Tadalafil monotherapies in adults with PAH (WHO FC II or III) followed by an Open-label treatment period with FDC therapy. The participants were either treatment naïve (53%) or were on a stable dose of ERA (17%) or PDE5i (30%) for at least three months before the study [32, 35].

In this pilot study, candidates were categorized into three arms: treatment with macitentan/ tadalafil (M/T FDC), macitentan 10 mg monotherapy, and tadalafil 40 mg monotherapy. These oral tablets were administered for 16 weeks to measure the outcomes. Participants enrolled for M/T FDC, Tadalafil monotherapy, and Macitentan monotherapy were 57%, 24%, and 19%, respectively. Most of the participants engaged were white females suffering from Idiopathic PAH (51%). At enrollment, 51% of patients were WHO FC II, and 49% were WHO FC III [32, 35].

This study met its primary endpoint, demonstrating notable improvement in PVR at 16 weeks. M/T FDC treatment resulted in a statistically significant effect with a 29% reduction in PVR compared to macitentan and a 28% reduction in PVR compared to Tadalafil [35].

The adverse effects were predominantly edema (21%), anemia (19%), and headache (18%). The incidence of withdrawal from the study accounted for 8% due to edema and anemia [35]. Critical adverse effects following the use of M/T FDC are shown in Fig. (4) [35].



Fig. (4). Adverse effects in patients treated with M/T FDC during the 16-week double-blind study portion of A DUE study [35]; modifications in Images by Goran tek-en (CC-BY-SA 4.0), Mikael Haggstrom (CC0).

Furthermore, M/T FDC is contraindicated in pregnancy and in patients who are using organic nitrates and guanylate cyclase stimulators such as riociguat. It should also be used cautiously in patients with hepatic impairment, anemia, and cardiovascular pathology. Due to its hepatic effects in a double-blind and combined double-blind/openlabel arms study of M/T FDC, 0.9% and 2.2% of patients discontinued the treatment [35].

The combination of Macitentan and Tadalafil could be a promising drug as it helps bridge the gap between guidelines and everyday clinical practice.

3. INHALED TREPROSTINIL IS THE SOLE FDA-APPROVED REMEDY, A POSSIBILITY BESIDES SUPPORTIVE THERAPY FOR PULMONARY HYPER-TENSION ASSOCIATED WITH INTERSTITIAL LUNG DISEASE

Interstitial lung diseases (ILD) comprise a broad spectrum of diseases usually complicated by pulmonary

hypertension during its journey, along with its association with functional impairment, increase in oxygen requirement, decreased quality of life, and poor prognosis. It is included in WHO group 3 pulmonary hypertension [1, 36]. Chronic hypoxia is a crucial factor responsible for the development of PH in patients with respiratory disorders (Fig. 5) [37-40].

Ever since the launch of inhaled treprostinil, it offered an avenue for the treatment of PH-ILD, as therapeutic choices were often limited to supportive therapy [1]. Treprostinil is a prostacyclin analog [41, 42]. It can be administered orally, intravenously, subcutaneously, or inhalation route [42]. Inhaled Treprostinil demonstrated hemodynamic improvements with reduced PVR and improvement in 6MWD [41]. Furthermore, it is well tolerated due to a few notable adverse effects, such as cough, headache, and sore throat [41, 43, 44]. Adverse effects as per different routes of administration are shown in Fig. (6).



Fig. (5). Pathogenesis of ILD complicated by PH along with the mechanism of action of Treprostinil. CO_2 : Carbon dioxide, ET-1: Endothelin 1, NO: Nitric Oxide, O_2 : Oxygen, PDGF: Platelet Derived Growth Factor, PGI_2 : Prostacyclin, VEGF: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor.



Fig. (6). Adverse effects following administration of Treprostinil via different routes [44].

Based on the INCREASE trial, inhaled treprostinil is the only FDA-approved treatment considered so far for PH-ILD with survival benefits [1, 40, 45].

The INCREASE trial was a multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, phase III trial, accomplished with the prime objective of evaluating the safety and efficacy of inhaled Treprostinil in patients with precapillary PH associated with ILD, including combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (CPFE) followed by open-label extension [46]. The primary purpose of the INCREASE trial (Open Label Extension, OLE) was to evaluate the long-term effects of inhaled Treprostinil in PH-ILD [47].

The candidates were categorized equally into two arms: one group received a placebo, and the other received inhaled Treprostinil 12 breaths (6 mcg per breath) four times a day via ultrasonic nebulizer. Outcomes were measured initially at 16 weeks, and the OLE followed for an additional 108 weeks [46, 47]. The maximum dose administered during Open Label Extension was up to 15 breaths per dose of inhaled Treprostinil [47].

Inhaled Treprostinil revealed notable improvements in exercise capacity and decreased clinical worsening (Table **3**) [45, 46]. Prior treatment with inhaled treprostinil was associated with a 31% reduction in the risk of exacerbations as compared to those with prior placebo treatment. It was also found to be safe to use for long-term management [47].

93.3% of the participants from the RCT trial developed adverse effects such as cough (43.6%), headache, dizziness, fatigue, and others, which were quite similar to the previous findings [46]. 94.6% developed adverse effects during the OLE, with 22.3% accounting for drug discontinuation, with higher incidence from candidates who received a placebo in RCT (28.1%) [47]. The safety of the drug during OLE was observed to be consistent with RCT, with improved tolerability over time as evidenced by a reduction in adverse effects like cough and headache experienced by the patients who received inhaled Treprostinil in RCT [47]. Notable adverse effects are demonstrated in Table **4**.

Table 3. Change from baseline 6MWD andoccurrence of clinical worsening from INCREASERCT trial over 16 weeks [46].

	Inhaled Treprostinil (N=163)	Placebo (N=163)	Treatment Effect (95% CI)	p-value
Change in 6MWD from baseline.	21.8±5.12	-10.04±5.12	31.12±7.25 (16.85 to 45.39)	<0.001
Occurrence of clinical worsening (%)	22.7	33.1		

Note: 6MWD: 6 Minute Walk Distance, CI: Confidence Interval.

Table 4. Adverse effects due to inhaled Treprostinil for 16 weeks of the study of the INCREASE RCT trial and OLE [46, 47].

Adverse Effects	RCT		OLE	
	Inhaled Treprostinil (N=163) %	Placebo (N=163) %	Inhaled Treprostinil in RCT (N=119) %	Placebo in RCT (N=121) %
Cough	43.6	33.1	18.5	35.5
Headache	27.6	19.6	10.1	27.3
Dyspnea	25.2	31.3	25.2	27.3
Dizziness	18.4	14.1	15.1	14.9
Nausea	15.3	16.0	15.1	11.6
Fatigue	14.1	14.1	15.1	11.6
Diarrhea	13.5	11.7	16.8	14.0
Throat irritation	12.3	3.7		
Oropharyngeal pain	11.0	2.5		

Note: OLE: Open Label Extension, RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial.

Although this newly approved drug showed significant improvement in patients with PH-ILD with fewer adverse effects, its usual application requires further studies.

4. REMOTE PULMONARY ARTERY PRESSURE MONITORING AS A GUIDE FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF HEART FAILURE IN PH PATIENTS

Group 2 PH (PH due to left heart disease) is the most common form of PH with higher mortality as compared to PAH [3]. There is no validated treatment, and its management targets detecting and treating the underlying cause [48].

Pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) monitoring is a hemodynamic-guided heart failure (HF) management system and involves an implantable sensor. It can measure the PAP, and the recordings can be assessed remotely. PAP is a promising early marker for congestion, and its value can guide management plans before clinically evident heart failure ensues [49]. Two types of PAP monitoring devices are currently used: the CardioMEMS HF system (Abbott, Sylmar, CA, USA) and the Cordella PAP Sensor (Endotronix, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) [49].

The CHAMPION Trial was the first randomized controlled trial assessing the safety and efficacy of the CardioMEMS HF system comprising 550 subjects from 64 different sites in the United States [49, 50]. It included patients diagnosed with Heart Failure for more than three months and falling under New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class III during screening. Eligible candidates were those with hospitalization for decompensated Heart Failure within the past 12 months, irrespective of HF being either systolic or diastolic type. All enrolled candidates received HF sensor implants and were randomized into treatment and control groups before being discharged from the hospital after overnight observation. Treatment groups were provided with standard-of-care HF management as guided by hemodynamic information. In contrast, the control group received standard-of-care HF management, as directed by clinical findings, without using hemodynamic information [50].

Hospitalization for heart failure within six months was reduced by 28% in the treatment group. There was a reduction in 1 hospitalization for every eight patients being treated. The effect was more pronounced during a mean follow-up of 15 months, where the reduction in hospitalization was 37%, requiring only four patients to be treated to decrease one hospitalization [51].

After the randomized access period was completed, all service providers received pulmonary artery pressure information for an additional 13 months (open access period). Patients in the former control group experienced a 48% reduction in hospitalization for heart failure during the open access period compared to hospitalizations of the control group during the randomized period [52]. There were 8 (1%) Device or System-related complications with no sensor failures, suggesting the device's safety profile [51].

Patients with Pulmonary Hypertension have a higher hospitalization risk than HF cases without PH. The management of HF following ambulatory hemodynamic monitoring has shown a significant reduction in Hospitalizations for PH Patients (HR 0.64, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.81, p = 0.002) [53].

Concurrent Pulmonary Artery Pressure monitoring, along with the assessment of clinical signs and symptoms, enabled improved management of heart failure and a possible reduction in hospitalizations. Remote PAP monitoring is a boon for PH patients as it helps in the early detection of raised PAP and helps in managing it before PH progresses.

The CHAMPION trial's limitation was maintaining patient masking and minimizing the effect of investigatorpatient and device-patient interactions on outcome. It was not powered to detect a mortality benefit, and more extensive trials of hemodynamic monitoring are needed.

CONCLUSION

Patients with PAH have high morbidity and mortality despite the optimization of the currently available treatment strategies. New therapeutic and monitoring approaches are necessary to improve patient outcomes.

Sotatercept is a promising add-on therapy for patients with WHO FC II-III symptoms on stable background therapy. Still, long-term data are needed to establish its safety, efficacy, and role in modifying disease (decreasing mortality). Cost affordability and medical insurance coverage could be a few other issues in developing nations, while the availability of these medications could be a challenge in resource-limited countries.

A fixed-dose combination of macitentan and tadalafil has shown supremacy in reducing PVR, but its hepatic side effects are still a concern.

Inhaled Treprostinil in PH-ILD helps the 6MWD. Its route is preferable over other routes of administration.

Remote pulmonary artery pressure monitoring provides valuable information for monitoring HF and PH therapy. More extensive trials are needed before its routine application in mainstream care.

Future data can only tell us if these newer therapies will deliver promising results and help in improving patient survival and quality of life.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

M.S.: The conceptualization of this work was done; V.P., R.T., S.B., M.S., S.S., and J.V.: Literature review and drafting of the manuscript were done. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- BMP = Bone Morphogenetic Protein
- TGF- β = Transforming Growth Factor β
- PH = Pulmonary Hypertension
- PDE5 = Phosphodiesterase 5
- PAH = Pulmonary arterial hypertension
- CO_2 = Carbon dioxide
- ET-1 = Endothelin 1
- NO = Nitric Oxide
- $O_2 = Oxygen$
- PDGF = Platelet Derived Growth Factor
- PGI2 = Platelet Derived Growth Factor
- PGI_2 = Prostacyclin

VEGF = Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Not applicable.

FUNDING

None Declared.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Dr. Joseph Varon is Editor in Chief, Dr. Salim R. Surani is Co-editor in Chief, Dr. Munish Sharma is the Editorial Board Member of The Open Respiratory Medicine Journal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM, et al. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J 2022; 43(38): 3618-731. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurhearti/ehac237 PMID: 36017548
- [2] Simonneau G, Montani D, Celermajer DS, et al. Haemodynamic definitions and updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2019; 53(1): 1801913. http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01913-2018 PMID: 30545968
- [3] Wijeratne DT, Lajkosz K, Brogly SB, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of world health organization groups 1 to 4 pulmonary hypertension. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2018; 11(2): e003973. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.117.003973 PMID:

29444925

- Hoeper MM, Humbert M, Souza R, et al. A global view of pulmonary hypertension. Lancet Respir Med 2016; 4(4): 306-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(15)00543-3 PMID: 26975810
- [5] Ruopp NF, Cockrill BA. Diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. JAMA 2022; 327(14): 1379-91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.4402 PMID: 35412560
- Hoeper MM, Madani MM, Nakanishi N, Meyer B, Cebotari S, Rubin LJ. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Lancet Respir Med 2014; 2(7): 573-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(14)70089-X
 PMID: 24898750
- [7] Hu Y, Chi L, Kuebler WM, Goldenberg NM. Perivascular inflammation in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Cells 2020; 9(11): 2338.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells9112338 PMID: 33105588

- [8] Humbert M, Sitbon O, Chaouat A, et al. Pulmonary arterial hypertension in France: Results from a national registry. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 173(9): 1023-30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200510-16680C PMID: 16456139
- [9] Thomson JR, Machado RD, Pauciulo MW, et al. Sporadic primary pulmonary hypertension is associated with germline mutations of the gene encoding BMPR-II, a receptor member of the TGF-beta family. J Med Genet 2000; 37(10): 741-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jmg.37.10.741 PMID: 11015450
- [10] Atkinson C, Stewart S, Upton PD, et al. Primary pulmonary hypertension is associated with reduced pulmonary vascular expression of type II bone morphogenetic protein receptor. Circulation 2002; 105(14): 1672-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000012754.72951.3D PMID: 11940546
- [11] Nakaoka T, Gonda K, Ogita T, et al. Inhibition of rat vascular smooth muscle proliferation in vitro and in vivo by bone morphogenetic protein-2. J Clin Invest 1997; 100(11): 2824-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI119830 PMID: 9389748
- [12] Massagué J. TGF-β signal transduction. Annu Rev Biochem 1998; 67(1): 753-91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.753 PMID:

nttp://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biocnem.67.1.753 PMID: 9759503

- [13] Yndestad A, Larsen KO, Oie E, et al. Elevated levels of activin A in clinical and experimental pulmonary hypertension. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2009; 106(4): 1356-64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.90719.2008 PMID: 19196915
- [14] Yung LM, Yang P, Joshi S, et al. ACTRIIA-Fc rebalances activin/GDF versus BMP signaling in pulmonary hypertension. Sci Transl Med 2020; 12(543): eaaz5660. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz5660 PMID: 32404506
- [15] Humbert M, McLaughlin V, Gibbs JSR, et al. Sotatercept for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2021;

384(13): 1204-15.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2024277 PMID: 33789009

- [16] Nasrollahizadeh A, Soleimani H, Nasrollahizadeh A, Hashemi SM, Hosseini K. Navigating the Sotatercept landscape: A metaanalysis of clinical outcomes. Clin Cardiol 2024; 47(1): e24173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/clc.24173 PMID: 37819149
- [17] Hoeper MM, Badesch DB, Ghofrani HA, et al. Phase 3 trial of sotatercept for treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2023; 388(16): 1478-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEIMoa2213558 PMID: 36877098
- [18] Humbert M, McLaughlin V, Gibbs JSR, et al. Sotatercept for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension: PULSAR open-label extension. Eur Respir J 2023; 61(1): 2201347. http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01347-2022 PMID: 36041750
- [19] Wharton J, Strange JW, Møller GMO, et al. Antiproliferative effects of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibition in human pulmonary artery cells. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 172(1): 105-13.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200411-1587OC PMID: 15817798

- [20] Giaid A, Yanagisawa M, Langleben D, et al. Expression of endothelin-1 in the lungs of patients with pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 1993; 328(24): 1732-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199306173282402 PMID: 8497283
- [21] Chin KM, Sitbon O, Doelberg M, et al. Three- versus two-drug therapy for patients with newly diagnosed pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021; 78(14): 1393-403. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2021.07.057 PMID: 34593120
- [22] Bolli MH, Boss C, Binkert C, et al. The discovery of N-[5-(4bromophenyl)-6-[2-[(5-bromo-2-pyrimidinyl)oxy]ethoxy]-4pyrimidinyl]-N'-propylsulfamide (Macitentan), an orally active, potent dual endothelin receptor antagonist. J Med Chem 2012; 55(17): 7849-61.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm3009103 PMID: 22862294

- [23] Gatfield J, Mueller Grandjean C, Sasse T, Clozel M, Nayler O. Slow receptor dissociation kinetics differentiate macitentan from other endothelin receptor antagonists in pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells. PLoS One 2012; 7(10): e47662 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047662 PMID: 23077657
- [24] Clozel M. Endothelin research and the discovery of macitentan for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2016; 311(4): R721-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00475.2015 PMID: 27534881
- [25] Sidharta PN, Treiber A, Dingemanse J. Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the endothelin receptor antagonist macitentan. Clin Pharmacokinet 2015; 54(5): 457-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40262-015-0255-5 PMID: 25860376
- [26] Pulido T, Adzerikho I, Channick RN, et al. Macitentan and morbidity and mortality in pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2013; 369(9): 809-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1213917 PMID: 23984728
- [27] Sharma R. Novel phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors: Current indications and future directions. Indian J Med Sci 2007; 61(12): 667-79
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0019-5359.37789 PMID: 18174638
- [28] Ghofrani HA, Voswinckel R, Reichenberger F, et al. Differences in hemodynamic and oxygenation responses to three different phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004; 44(7): 1488-96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2004.06.060 PMID: 15464333
- [29] Rashid M, Fahim M, Kotwani A. Efficacy of tadalafil in chronic hypobaric hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension: Possible mechanisms. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2013; 27(3): 271-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-8206.2011.01013.x PMID: 22251079
- [30] Galiè N, Brundage BH, Ghofrani HA, et al. Tadalafil therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. Circulation 2009; 119(22): 2894-903. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.839274 PMID: 19470885
- [31] Oudiz RJ, Brundage BH, Galiè N, et al. Tadalafil for the treatment

of pulmonary arterial hypertension: A double-blind 52-week uncontrolled extension study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 60(8): 768-74

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.05.004 PMID: 22818063

[32] Grünig E, Jansa P, Fan F, et al. Randomized trial of macitentan/tadalafil single-tablet combination therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2024; 83(4): 473-84

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2023.10.045 PMID: 38267108

- [33] Macitentan and Tadalafil (Opsynvi): CADTH Reimbursement Recommendation (CADTH Reimbursement Reviews and Recommendations). Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK595383/
- [34] Galiè N, Denton CP, Dardi F, et al. Tadalafil in idiopathic or heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) compared to PAH associated with connective tissue disease. Int J Cardiol 2017; 235: 67-72.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.02.094 PMID: 28279499

- [35] OPSYNVI® Prescribing Information. Titusville, NJ Actelion Pharmaceuticals US, Inc..pdf.
- [36] Nathan SD, Hassoun PM. Pulmonary hypertension due to lung disease and/or hypoxia. Clin Chest Med 2013; 34(4): 695-705. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2013.08.004 PMID: 24267299
- [37] Dawes KE, Peacock AJ, Gray AJ, Bishop JE, Laurent GJ. Characterization of fibroblast mitogens and chemoattractants produced by endothelial cells exposed to hypoxia. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 1994; 10(5): 552-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1165/ajrcmb.10.5.8179919 PMID: 8179919
- [38] Presberg KW, Dincer HE. Pathophysiology of pulmonary hypertension due to lung disease. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2003; 9(2): 131-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00063198-200303000-00007 PMID:

12574693

[39] Pak O, Aldashev A, Welsh D, Peacock A. The effects of hypoxia on the cells of the pulmonary vasculature. Eur Respir J 2007; 30(2): 364-72.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00128706 PMID: 17666559

- [40] Arslan A, Smith J, Qureshi MR, et al. Evolution of pulmonary hypertension in interstitial lung disease: A journey through past. present, and future. Front Med (Lausanne) 2024; 10: 1306032. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1306032 PMID: 38298504
- [41] Channick R, Voswinckel R, Rubin L, Vultaggio . Inhaled treprostinil: A therapeutic review. Drug Des Devel Ther 2012; 6: 19-28.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S19281 PMID: 22291467

- [42] Zare P, Heller D. Treprostinil.StatPearls. Treasure Island, FL: Publishing StatPearls 2024 Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK545152/
- [43] Parikh KS, Rajagopal S, Fortin T, Tapson VF, Poms AD. Safety and tolerability of high-dose inhaled treprostinil in pulmonary hypertension. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2016; 67(4): 322-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/FJC.00000000000357 PMID: 26828324
- [44] Kingman M, Archer-Chicko C, Bartlett M, Beckmann J, Hohsfield R, Lombardi S. Management of prostacyclin side effects in adult patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Pulm Circ 2017; 7(3): 598-608.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2045893217719250 PMID: 28632002

- [45] West N, Smoot K, Patzlaff N, Miceli M, Waxman A. Plain language summary of the INCREASE study: Inhaled treprostinil (Tyvaso) for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension due to interstitial lung disease. Future Cardiol 2023; 19(5): 229-39. http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fca-2022-0108 PMID: 37466095
- [46] Waxman A, Restrepo-Jaramillo R, Thenappan T, et al. Inhaled treprostinil in pulmonary hypertension due to interstitial lung disease. N Engl J Med 2021; 384(4): 325-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2008470 PMID: 33440084
- [47] Waxman A, Restrepo-Jaramillo R, Thenappan T, et al. Long-term inhaled treprostinil for pulmonary hypertension due to interstitial lung disease: INCREASE open-label extension study. Eur Respir J

2023; 61(6): 2202414.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02414-2022 PMID: 37080567

- [48] Vachiéry JL, Adir Y, Barberà JA, et al. Pulmonary hypertension due to left heart diseases. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013; 62(25) (Suppl.): D100-8.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.10.033 PMID: 24355634
- [49] Clephas PRD, Aydin D, Radhoe SP, Brugts JJ. Recent advances in remote pulmonary artery pressure monitoring for patients with chronic heart failure: Current evidence and future perspectives. Sensors (Basel) 2023; 23(3): 1364. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s23031364 PMID: 36772403
- [50] Adamson PB, Abraham WT, Aaron M, et al. CHAMPION trial rationale and design: The long-term safety and clinical efficacy of a wireless pulmonary artery pressure monitoring system. J Card Fail 2011; 17(1): 3-10.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2010.08.002 PMID: 21187258

- [51] Abraham WT, Adamson PB, Bourge RC, et al. Wireless pulmonary artery haemodynamic monitoring in chronic heart failure: A randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2011; 377(9766): 658-66. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60101-3 PMID: 21315441
- [52] Abraham WT, Stevenson LW, Bourge RC, Lindenfeld JA, Bauman JG, Adamson PB. Sustained efficacy of pulmonary artery pressure to guide adjustment of chronic heart failure therapy: Complete follow-up results from the CHAMPION randomised trial. Lancet 2016; 387(10017): 453-61.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00723-0 PMID: 26560249

[53] Benza RL, Raina A, Abraham WT, et al. Pulmonary hypertension related to left heart disease: Insight from a wireless implantable hemodynamic monitor. J Heart Lung Transplant 2015; 34(3): 329-37.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2014.04.014 PMID: 24999252